



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,962	09/18/2003	Bilhan Kirbas	UTL 00246	8322
32968	7590	08/10/2005	EXAMINER	
KYOCERA WIRELESS CORP. P.O. BOX 928289 SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-8289			DOAN, PHUOC HUU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2687	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/665,962	Applicant(s) KIRBAS ET AL.	
	Examiner PHUOC H. DOAN	Art Unit 2687	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/23/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims **1-19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Macaluso (US Pub No: 2005/0079863) in view of Heie (US Pub No: 2004/0229644)**.

As to claim 1, Macaluso discloses a method for providing an over the air area code update "col. 2, par. [0022], **when a new area code is implemented or updated**" to a wireless communication device communicatively coupled with a wireless communication network (col. 3, par. [0030]). However, Macaluso does not specifically disclose comprising: identifying a new area code for a wireless communication device; constructing an update communication, the update communication comprising the new area code; and sending the update communication to the wireless communication device via a wireless communication network.

Heie specifically discloses comprising: identifying a new area code for a wireless communication device (col. 2, par. [0019]); constructing an update communication (col. 2, par. [0020]), the update communication comprising the new area code (col. 3, par. [0022-0023]); and sending the update communication

Art Unit: 2687

to the wireless communication device via a wireless communication network (col. 3, par. [0025-0027]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the update communication comprising the new area code as taught by Heie to the method of Macaluso in order to modify to have a new area code without interrupt the communication services.

As to claim 2, the combination of Macaluso and Heie further disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the new area code is identified from a set of valid area codes (col. 2, par. [0020] of Heie).

As to claim 3, the combination of Macaluso and Heie further disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the update communication further comprises an operation code instructing the wireless communication device to update the area code (col. 3, par. [0023-0026] of Heie).

As to claim 4, Macaluso further discloses the method of claim 3, further comprising at the wireless communication device after receiving the update communication: requesting authorization from an authorization server (col. 4, par. [0036-0038]); receiving authorization from the authorization server in response to the request (col. 5, par. [0040-0041]; and executing the update communication (col. 4, par. [0033-0036]).

Art Unit: 2687

As to claim 5, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

As to claim 6, the combination of Macaluso and Heie further disclose the method of claim 5, wherein the set of new area codes comprises only valid area codes (col. 2, par. [0015-0020] of Heie).

As to claim 7, the combination of Macaluso and Heie further disclose the method of claim 5, wherein the update communication further comprises an operation code instructing the wireless communication device to update the set of area codes and the area code (col. 2, par. [0020-0026] of Heie).

As to claim 8, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4.

As to claim 9, Macaluso discloses a system for providing an over the air area code update “col. 2, par. [0022], **when a new area code is implemented or updated**” to a wireless communication device communicatively coupled with a wireless communication network (col. 3, par. [0030]). However, Macaluso does not specifically disclose comprising: a wireless communication device having a data storage area; a current area code stored in said data storage area; a wireless communication network communicatively coupled with the wireless communication device; and an area code server communicatively coupled with the wireless communication device via the wireless communication network, wherein the area code server sends a new area code to the wireless

Art Unit: 2687

communication device and the wireless communication device replaces the current area code with the new area code.

Heie specifically discloses comprising: a wireless communication device having a data storage area (Fig. 1, item 104); a current area code stored in said data storage area (col. 2, par. [0015-0018]); a wireless communication network communicatively coupled with the wireless communication device (col. 2, par. [0019-0021]); and an area code server communicatively coupled with the wireless communication device via the wireless communication network (col. 2, par. [0020]), wherein the area code server sends a new area code to the wireless communication device and the wireless communication device replaces the current area code with the new area code (col. 2, par. [0020-0021], and col. 3, par. [0022-0027]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the update communication comprising the new area code as taught by Heie to the system of Macaluso in order to modify to have a new area code without interrupt the communication services.

As to claim 10, the combination of Macaluso and Heie further disclose the system of claim 9, further comprising a current area code table stored in the data storage area on the wireless communication device (col. 2, par. [0015] of Heie), the current area code table having a plurality of area codes (col. 2, par. [0019-0021] of Heie).

Art Unit: 2687

As to claim 11, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6.

As to claim 12, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 7.

As to claim 13, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 8.

As to claim 14, Macaluso further discloses the system of claim 13, wherein the authorization server "col. 5, par. [0040], authentication policy on the **ADS 410 server**" and the area code server are resident in a single server computer system (col. 5, par. [0041]).

As to claim 15, the claim specifies the computer program necessary to perform the method steps as specified in claim 1 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons.

As to claim 16, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2.

As to claim 17, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 3.

As to claim 18, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 9.

As to claim 19, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims **20-21** are allowed.

As to claim 20, the prior art of record in alone, or combination do not disclose a wireless communication device, comprising: a data storage area having a current area code and a current area code table, wherein the current area code is included in the current area code table; a runtime engine configured to receive an update instruction via a wireless communication network, the update instruction comprising a new area code, a new area code table, a new area code operation code, and a new area code table operation code; an operation code library comprising the new area code operation code and the new area code table operation code; a first set of runtime instructions corresponding to the new area code operation code; and a second set of runtime instructions corresponding to the new area code table operation code, wherein the wireless communication device receives the update instruction and executes the runtime instructions corresponding to the new area code table operation code to replace the current area code table in the data storage area with the new area code table and executes the runtime instructions corresponding to the new area code operation code to replace the current area code in the data storage area with the new area code.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2687

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Camarillo (US Pub No: 2004/02406657) discloses "Technique for selecting switched connection for distributing calls through an information assistance provider".

Hotze (**US Pub No: 2004/0266422**) discloses "Method for providing call completion following a call to an improper called number in a wireless communication system".

Lambert (US Patent No: 6,578,056) discloses "Efficient data transfer mechanism for synchronization of multi-media databases".

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUOC H. DOAN whose telephone number is 571-272-7920. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESTER G. KINCAID can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2687

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuoc Doan
07/16/05


8/8/05
LESTER G. KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER